

*Ing. Mgr. Petra Prošková*¹ 

Department of Economics
University of Economics, Prague (VŠE)

Impact of circadian rhythms on business efficiency and economic growth²

Abstract

Because circadian rhythms control key processes such as sleep, cognition and metabolism, their disturbance is now widely viewed as a significant factor reducing worker productivity and influencing economic performance. While biomedical research provides extensive evidence on the health consequences of circadian misalignment, its implications for labour efficiency, organizational outcomes, and public finances remain underexamined in economic literature. This review synthesizes findings from empirical studies published between 2011 and 2025 to assess how sleep disruption, shift work, and chronotype mismatch affect individual performance, workplace efficiency, and broader economic indicators. Results consistently show that circadian misalignment reduces cognitive functioning, increases error rates and absenteeism, and contributes to long-term health risks, including metabolic and cardiovascular disorders. These outcomes impose substantial costs on firms through productivity losses, higher turnover, and increased injury risk, while also generating financial burdens for public healthcare and social insurance systems. The review highlights several evidence-based strategies such as chronotype-aligned scheduling, predictable shift systems, improved lighting conditions, and sleep-hygiene interventions that can mitigate these negative effects. The study concludes by emphasising the economic relevance of integrating circadian science into workplace policies and human resource management practices.

Keywords: circadian rhythms, productivity, economic impacts, shift work, workplace efficiency, public finance.

¹ Correspondence address: University of Economics, Prague (VŠE), Department of Economics, W. Churchill Sq. 1938/4, 130 67 Prague 3, Czech Republic; e-mail: prop11@vse.cz. ORCID: 0009-0007-1429-4432.

² This work was supported by the internal grant of the Prague University of Economics and Business, No.: VŠE IGS F5/05/2025 (IG506015).

Wpływ rytmu dobowego na efektywność biznesową i wzrost gospodarczy

Abstrakt

Ponieważ rytmy dobowe regulują kluczowe procesy, takie jak sen, funkcje poznawcze i metabolizm, ich zaburzenie jest obecnie powszechnie postrzegane jako istotny czynnik obniżający produktywność pracowników i wpływający na wyniki gospodarcze. O ile badania biomedyczne dostarczają obszernych dowodów na zdrowotne konsekwencje rozregulowania rytmu dobowego, o tyle jego implikacje dla efektywności pracy, wyników organizacyjnych oraz finansów publicznych pozostają w literaturze ekonomicznej niedostatecznie zbadane. Niniejszy przegląd syntetyzuje wyniki badań empirycznych opublikowanych w latach 2011–2025, aby ocenić, w jaki sposób zaburzenia snu, praca zmianowa oraz niedopasowanie chronotypu wpływają na indywidualną wydajność, efektywność w miejscu pracy oraz szersze wskaźniki ekonomiczne. Wyniki jednoznacznie pokazują, że rozregulowanie rytmu dobowego obniża funkcjonowanie poznawcze, zwiększa liczbę błędów i absencję oraz przyczynia się do długoterminowych zagrożeń zdrowotnych, w tym zaburzeń metabolicznych i sercowo-naczyniowych. Skutki te generują znaczne koszty dla przedsiębiorstw w postaci strat produktywności, wyższej rotacji pracowników i zwiększonego ryzyka wypadków, a jednocześnie obciążają publiczne systemy ochrony zdrowia i ubezpieczeń społecznych. Przegląd wskazuje na kilka strategii opartych na dowodach empirycznych – takich jak planowanie pracy zgodne z chronotypem, przewidywalne systemy zmianowe, poprawa warunków oświetleniowych oraz interwencje z zakresu higieny snu – które mogą ograniczać te negatywne efekty. Badanie kończy się podkreśleniem ekonomicznego znaczenia integracji wiedzy o rytmach dobowych z politykami miejsca pracy oraz praktykami zarządzania zasobami ludzkimi.

Słowa kluczowe: rytmy cyrkadianowe, produktywność, skutki ekonomiczne, praca zmianowa, efektywność w miejscu pracy, finanse publiczne.

JEL: J24, M54, I12.

INTRODUCTION

Employee productivity is a central determinant of firm competitiveness and long-term economic growth. Traditional economic approaches highlight human capital, skills, motivation, and technological progress as key drivers of performance. In recent years, however, growing interdisciplinary evidence has shown that biological mechanisms particularly circadian rhythms play an equally important role in shaping daily fluctuations in cognitive abilities, alertness, decision-making, and overall work capacity. Circadian rhythms regulate the sleep-wake cycle, hormonal secretion, metabolism, and numerous physiological processes essential for maintaining stable cognitive and physical performance. When work schedules conflict with these natural biological patterns, individuals experience sleep deprivation, reduced alertness, impaired decision-making, and increased levels of fatigue and stress. At the organizational level, such misalignment leads to lower productivity per hour worked, greater error rates, higher accident risks, and increased employee turnover. At the macroeconomic level, chronic circadian

disruption contributes to rising healthcare expenditure, increased sickness benefits, and lower aggregate labour efficiency factors with measurable effects on public finances and economic growth. Despite robust biomedical evidence, the economic literature has only partially addressed how circadian misalignment affects firm-level and economy-wide outcomes. As modern labour markets increasingly rely on shift work, global time coordination, and flexible schedules, understanding the economic consequences of biological rhythms becomes essential for designing effective workplace policies and supporting sustainable human capital. The aim of this study is therefore to synthesise existing empirical findings on the relationship between circadian rhythms, worker performance, and economic outcomes. The review seeks to answer the following research question:

What are the economic implications of understanding, managing, and applying circadian rhythms in the workplace?

The contribution of the paper therefore lies in:

- a) integrating biomedical and economic evidence on circadian misalignment;
- b) identifying mechanisms through which biological rhythms influence productivity and organisational efficiency;
- c) outlining implications for firms, human resource management, and public policy.

METHODOLOGY

This study is based on a systematic literature review covering the period 2011–2025. Relevant publications were identified through four major academic databases PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar and were supplemented by expert monographs and reports from international organisations such as the OECD, ILO, and WHO. The initial search yielded 212 records, from which duplicates and studies lacking relevance were removed through title and abstract screening. Following this screening procedure, 30 studies met the final inclusion criteria, comprising 19 primary empirical studies and 11 systematic reviews or meta-analyses. Studies were included if they were written in English, reported a clearly defined research design, contained a minimum sample size of more than ten participants, and addressed circadian rhythms in relation to productivity, labour outcomes, or economic performance. Excluded were studies focusing exclusively on paediatric or clinical populations, publications without identifiable methodology, and studies that did not report measurable outcomes relevant to work performance, health, or economic indicators. The search was conducted using combinations of keywords such as “circadian rhythm,” “chronotype,” “shift work,” “sleep deprivation,” “productivity,” “economic performance,” “economic growth,” “absenteeism,” “daylight saving time,” and “sleep hygiene,” linked with Boolean operators (AND, OR) to capture a broad spectrum of interdisciplinary

evidence. For each included study, information was extracted regarding research location, methodology, sample size and characteristics, study objectives, and the primary variables examined. A narrative thematic synthesis was applied to integrate findings and identify recurring patterns across studies, with particular emphasis on the links between circadian misalignment, worker productivity, health-related outcomes, and economic implications. This methodological approach makes it possible to summarise heterogeneous evidence in a structured manner and provides a theoretical foundation for understanding the economic relevance of circadian rhythms in contemporary labour markets.

BIOLOGICAL BACKGROUND OF CIRCADIAN RHYTHMS

Circadian rhythms are endogenous biological cycles of approximately 24 hours that regulate essential physiological processes, including sleep-wake patterns, metabolism, hormonal secretion, and cognitive performance. These rhythms are governed by the suprachiasmatic nucleus in the hypothalamus, which functions as the body's central pacemaker and synchronises internal processes with external environmental cues, particularly light (Potter et al., 2016). Because these physiological mechanisms influence alertness, reaction time, decision-making, and energy levels, circadian rhythms represent a fundamental biological factor shaping employee productivity and organisational efficiency. Individual differences in the timing of circadian phases give rise to chronotypes, which determine a person's preferred and most productive hours of the day. Research commonly identifies three chronotype groups: morning types ("larks"), who typically reach peak cognitive performance shortly after waking; evening types ("owls"), whose performance improves later in the day; and intermediate types, who do not exhibit strong temporal preferences (Iannitelli, Biondi, 2020). Morning types generally show higher morning alertness associated with elevated cortisol levels and readiness for cognitively demanding tasks, whereas their productivity declines toward the afternoon. Evening types, in contrast, exhibit delayed peaks in alertness and cognitive functioning, often performing best in the late afternoon or evening due to differences in sleep-wake timing and hormonal regulation. These chronotype patterns have direct implications for the alignment between biological predispositions and standard work schedules.

Melatonin, a hormone produced primarily by the pineal gland, plays a central role in regulating the sleep-wake cycle. Its secretion increases during darkness, signalling the need for rest, while exposure to light suppresses melatonin production and promotes wakefulness (McClung, 2011). Stable melatonin rhythms support consistent sleep patterns, cognitive performance, and overall daytime functioning. Conversely, disruptions to melatonin regulation such as those caused by night-

-shift work, exposure to artificial lighting at night, or extensive use of electronic devices before bedtime can desynchronise the biological clock. Such disruptions often result in shortened sleep duration, impaired concentration, slower reaction times, and reduced work performance (Potter et al., 2016).

CIRCADIAN RHYTHMS AND EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVITY

Circadian misalignment is one of the most consistently documented biological factors impairing employee performance. Sleep deprivation often resulting from work schedules that conflict with natural circadian rhythms disrupts autonomic regulation and increases activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. This dysregulation elevates cortisol levels, intensifies fatigue, and impairs key cognitive functions such as sustained attention, decision-making, working memory, and problem-solving (Meerlo, Sgoifo, Suchecki, 2008). As sleep quality deteriorates, cognitive performance declines further, creating a self-reinforcing cycle of stress, insufficient recovery, and reduced workplace efficiency. The negative consequences of sleep loss are particularly pronounced in occupations requiring continuous attention, rapid decision-making, and high cognitive load. Evidence from healthcare demonstrates this clearly: a cross-sectional study among Malaysian physicians reported that more than 78% experienced clinically relevant sleep deprivation, which was associated with impaired communication, higher rates of diagnostic errors, and increased accident risk after night shifts (Daher, Burud, Subair, Mushahar, Xin, 2024). Similar patterns have been observed in other high-risk fields such as transportation, manufacturing, and emergency services. Sleep deprivation is not only a short-term impairment but is also systematically associated with long-term health risks. Analysis of Danish workers by Nabe-Nielsen et al. (2024) shows that approximately 7.5% of the labour force regularly engages in night-shift work, with clear evidence of reduced sleep duration, poorer sleep quality, and higher prevalence of sleep disorders. These disruptions contribute to elevated risks of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases, weakened immune function, and chronic fatigue. Research further suggests that slow-rotating shift systems produce more severe sleep disturbances than fast-rotating ones, and although some individuals show partial adaptation to consecutive night shifts, full circadian alignment is rare.

Chronic circadian disruption is also linked to poorer mental health outcomes. Individuals with irregular sleep patterns exhibit higher cortisol reactivity and reduced melatonin production, which together weaken emotional regulation and increase susceptibility to psychological distress (Melo, Abreu, Neto, de Bruin, de Bruin, 2017; Hickie, Naismith, Robillard, Scott, Hermens, 2013). Experimental evidence from nurses demonstrates that night-shift-induced circadian desynchronization

leads to heightened neuroticism, affective instability, and symptoms consistent with stress-related disorders (Poormoos, Amerzadeh, Alizadeh, Kalhoret, 2024). A related phenomenon is social jet lag, the misalignment between biological time and socially imposed schedules, which further increases psychological discomfort and contributes to cumulative sleep debt.

These findings fit within a broader body of research linking circadian misalignment to depressive symptoms and emotional instability. Studies indicate that individuals repeatedly exposed to night work or inconsistent sleep-wake timing face increased risks of mood disorders (Emens, Lewy, Kinzie, Arntz, Rough, 2009). Physiological dysregulation including altered cortisol secretion, disturbed gastrointestinal rhythms, and fluctuations in body temperature further contributes to metabolic disorders, gastrointestinal problems, and subjective sleepiness (Park, Nang, Cho, Chung, Kim, 2024). The effects of circadian rhythms on productivity extend beyond momentary alertness. Sleep plays a critical role in memory consolidation and learning, meaning that circadian disruption reduces the ability to integrate new information and decreases performance on cognitively demanding tasks. Shift workers frequently report lower vigilance and greater fatigue, which increases the probability of workplace accidents and decreases operational efficiency (Drake, Wright, 2011). Experimental evidence supports these patterns: workers exposed to chronic circadian disruption perform significantly worse in object-recognition and source-recall tasks, with night shifts having the strongest negative effect on performance (Mawdsley, Grasby, Talk, 2014). However, the literature also highlights individual differences in the ability to adapt to irregular schedules. Richardson et al. (2018) observed that older adults with chronic insomnia did not exhibit significantly poorer working-memory performance than well-rested peers, suggesting that age moderates the relationship between sleep disruption and cognitive outcomes. Similarly, Boudreau, Dumont, and Boivin (2013) documented partial adaptation among police officers, with 41% showing measurable delays in melatonin rhythms after seven consecutive night shifts. Despite this, evidence indicates that complete circadian adaptation is extremely rare. Boivin and Boudreau (2014) found that no more than 3% of night-shift workers achieve full entrainment of the circadian pacemaker to nocturnal work schedules, even after prolonged exposure, highlighting persistent misalignment between internal biological processes and external work demands.

ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF CIRCADIAN RHYTHM DISRUPTION

Disruptions of circadian rhythms have significant consequences not only for individual well-being but also for organisational efficiency and broader labour market outcomes. Misalignment between biological rhythms and fixed work

schedules reduces cognitive performance, increases error rates, and elevates fatigue-related risks, all of which translate into measurable productivity losses for firms. Empirical studies consistently show that sleep deprivation and circadian misalignment are associated with higher absenteeism, increased employee turnover, and reduced operational effectiveness, imposing additional costs on employers and public health systems. Workplace interventions aimed at improving circadian alignment such as flexible scheduling, chronotype-based shift allocation, predictable roster planning, and exposure to natural or high-quality artificial light have been shown to reduce fatigue, enhance alertness, and improve overall work performance (Swanson et al., 2011; Roach, Lamond, Dorrian, 2005). In shift-based industries, even moderate improvements in schedule design can significantly decrease accident risk and improve cognitive functioning during work hours. Evidence from occupational health research underscores the economic relevance of these mechanisms. Early morning shifts, which require employees to wake before 5 a.m., result in marked reductions in total sleep time and impair REM and stage 2 sleep phases essential for cognitive recovery and emotional regulation (Kecklund, Åkerstedt, Lowden, 1997). Workers exposed to extended or irregular shifts exhibit cumulative sleep deficits that impair concentration and increase workplace errors, consistent with findings from manufacturing and transportation sectors (Lowden, Kecklund, Axelsson, Åkerstedt, 1998). Access to natural light within workplaces has been shown to partially mitigate these effects by supporting circadian entrainment, reducing fatigue, and enhancing daytime performance (Boivin, Boudreau, Tremblay, 2012).

The predictability of work schedules is another critical factor. A recent study among Korean firefighters demonstrated that frequent and unpredictable shift changes were strongly associated with insomnia and elevated stress, particularly among older employees and women (Jeong et al., 2024). Such instability contributes to chronic fatigue, heightened accident risk, and increased susceptibility to burnout. Given that burnout was formally recognised by the World Health Organization in 2019 as a condition linked to chronic workplace stress, its economic implications are substantial (WHO, 2019). In Sweden, for example, stress-related health conditions accounted for sickness benefits equivalent to 0.33% of total labour income in 2019, approaching half of the expenditures associated with unemployment benefits (Nekoei, Sigurdsson, Wehr, 2024). Burnout and chronic stress undermine organisational performance through reduced productivity, diminished innovation capacity, and elevated turnover costs. Employees experiencing emotional exhaustion exhibit impaired problem-solving abilities, slower decision-making, and reduced engagement, leading to lower-quality outputs and increased rates of costly operational errors (Yanna, Yang, Lin,

2023). These effects are particularly pronounced in shift workers, whose disrupted sleep-wake cycles impair memory consolidation, learning, and vigilance functions essential for sustained productivity.

Circadian disruption is also linked to metabolic dysregulation, unhealthy eating behaviours, and elevated risks of obesity and cardiovascular disease, particularly among shift workers. Studies among healthcare and industrial workers show associations between irregular schedules, higher BMI, poorer dietary quality, and increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome (Migdanis et al., 2024; Vallejo-Giraldo, Sanchez-Medina, Vasquez-Trespacios, 2023). Hormonal mechanisms involving leptin and ghrelin appear to mediate these effects, contributing to higher energy intake and irregular eating patterns (Yoshizaki et al., 2010). These health consequences lead to increased sickness absence, reduced long-term work capacity, and higher healthcare expenditures for employers. Experimental evidence further suggests biological pathways connecting circadian disruption with emotional dysregulation and addictive behaviours. Animal models indicate that disruption of circadian genes can reduce lifespan, impair neurochemical balance, and increase preference for stimulants, findings that parallel human studies on sleep loss, psychological distress, and addictive tendencies (Lateef, Pokharel, Shafin, 2023). Although such experimental research cannot be directly translated into economic outcomes, it provides mechanistic insight into the biological foundations of reduced work capacity and stress-related illnesses.

Taken together, the literature demonstrates that circadian misalignment has substantial economic implications. It reduces labour productivity, increases operational risks, raises healthcare and absenteeism costs, and contributes to long-term declines in human capital. Addressing circadian disruption is therefore essential for effective labour management, organisational sustainability, and economic performance.

Table 1 summarises the main characteristics and findings of the empirical studies included in this review. Across the studies, three consistent patterns emerge: 1) circadian misalignment and sleep deprivation reliably reduce cognitive performance and increase error rates; 2) shift work and irregular schedules are strongly associated with long-term health risks, including metabolic disorders and psychological distress; and 3) interventions such as chronotype-based scheduling, increased light exposure, and flexible working hours show measurable improvements in performance and well-being. Taken together, the evidence highlights the economic relevance of circadian rhythms by demonstrating their impact on productivity, absenteeism, and long-term labour capacity.

Table 1. Overview of analysis results – primary study

Number	Reference	Location	Design / Method	Sample Size	Sample Composition	Aim	Key Variables
1	Park et al. 2024	South Korea	Experimental (3 feeding schedules in mice, urinary rhythm & bladder mRNA)	5 n = 6 per group	6 Laboratory mice	7 Determine how a feeding-time shift (mimicking night shifts) disrupts urinary rhythm.	8 Feeding schedule; genotype; urinary rhythm; antioxidant treatment
2	Poormoosa, Amerzadeh, Alizadeh 2024	Iran	Questionnaire survey	n = 378	21.2% male; 78.8% female nurses	Assess impact of circadian rhythm on nurses' work effectiveness, with QWL as mediator.	Quality of Work Life; circadian rhythm; nursing productivity; fatigue; error rate
3	Daher et al. 2024	Malaysia	Questionnaire survey	n = 206	Pediatric (13.6%), ER (5.3%), other (81.1%)	Determine prevalence of sleep deprivation and its perceived impact on doctors' performance.	SDIS; sleep-deprivation status; socio-demographics; work factors
4	Nabe-Nielsen et al. 2024	Denmark	Two prospective field cohorts	n = 160 (90 permanent night; 70 rotating)	90 permanent night workers; 70 three-shift police	Examine effect of consecutive night shifts on sleep duration/quality and chronotype differences.	Shift type; consecutive nights; chronotype; TST; PSD; sleep efficiency; subjective sleep quality
5	Lowden et al. 1998	Sweden	Questionnaire (before/10 mo after shift change)	n = 32	Chemical plant shift-workers	Evaluate impact of 8h→12h shift change on satisfaction, sleep, sleepiness, reaction time, health.	Shift type; timepoint; worker type; satisfaction; sleep quality; sleepiness; RT; health; recovery

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
6	Emens et al. 2009	USA	Pilot observational study	n = 18	Women with MDD, age 19–60, stable meds	Test correlation of sleep phase relative to DLMO with depression severity in MDD.	PAD; HAM-D score; sleep diary; actigraphy metrics
7	Mawdsley, Grasby, Talk 2014	Australia	Field experiment (sleep vs. wake intervals)	n = 40	50% shift-workers; 50% permanent day-workers	Assess effect of 12h sleep vs. wake on item recognition & source memory.	Work regime; interval type; memory performance (item & source)
8	Richardson et al. 2018	Australia	RCT, 2-phase	n = 83 adolescents	DSWPD (~42); good sleepers (~41)	Test cognitive deficits in DSWPD teens and effect of 3wk light therapy.	Diagnostic group; intervention; sleep timing; sleep duration; sleepiness; cognitive scores
9	Boudreau, Dumont, Boivin 2013	Canada	Within-subject field design	n = 15	7 M; 8 F police officers	Examine circadian adaptation over seven consecutive night shifts on sleep, performance.	Sleep parameters (PSG/actigraphy); PV/T; subjective alertness/mood; melatonin; HRV
10	Swanson et al. 2011	USA	Telephone survey	n = 1000	US workers ≥ 18 yrs, mixed demographics	Characterize links between work hours, sleep quality/duration, absenteeism, injuries.	Work hours; shift status; sleep outcomes; absenteeism; injury; presenteeism; demographics
11	Roach et al. 2005	South Australia	Lab simulation: 9 nights (1 adaptation; 1 baseline; 7 shifts)	n = 15	7 M; 8 F healthy volunteers	Assess adaptation to seven night shifts via urinary aMT6s and sleep measures.	Sleep type; urinary aMT6s; TST; sleep latency; sleep structure
12	Kecklund, Åkerstedt, Lowden 1997	Sweden	Within-subject (workday vs. day off)	n = 22	Female flight attendants	Test effect of early shift start on sleep duration, quality, and alertness.	Subjective awakening difficulty; non-restorative sleep; sleepiness; TST; sleep stages; EEG power

<i>I</i>	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
13	Boivin, Boudreau, Tremblay 2012	Canada	Field + lab (urine, saliva, PVT)	n = 17	8 intervention; 9 control police	Test bright-light + orange-goggles intervention on circadian adaptation.	Light intervention; sleep routine; PVT; subjective sleepiness; chronotype
14	Jeong et al. 2024	South Korea	Cross-sectional online survey	n = 8587	Firefighters, mixed demographics	Assess if schedule instability increases insomnia risk in firefighters.	Notice timing; shift swaps; insomnia (ISI \geq 15)
15	Migdamis et al. 2024	Greece	Cross-sectional online survey	n = 418	Healthcare workers (doctors, nurses)	Compare dietary habits & MedDiet adherence on night vs. day shifts during COVID-19.	Shift type; meal frequency; food intake; MedDiet-S score; beliefs
16	Vallejo-Giraldo et al. 2023	Colombia	Cross-sectional records analysis	n = 763	Food production workers (637M; 126F)	Examine shift vs. day work on BMI, WHR, lipids, glucose.	Shift type; BMI; WHR; cholesterol; glucose; covariates
17	Rabanipour et al. 2019	Iran	Cross-sectional (records + questionnaire)	n = 3063	Steel workers: 1683 rotating; 1380 day	Test rotating shift as risk for overweight/obesity & abdominal adiposity.	Shift type; BMI; WC; WHR; demographics; lifestyle
18	Yoshizaki et al. 2010	Japan	Questionnaire survey	n = 2758	1179 day; 1579 rotating nurses	Assess shift-type impact on BMI & mediators (diet, activity, sleep).	Shift type; SSB intake; sleep; activity; BMI; age; tenure
19	Lateef, Pokharel, Shafiq 2023	USA	Experimental (Drosophila, 3 groups)	n = 60–90 per group	20–30 flies/vial	Test circadian dysfunction & sleep deprivation on lifespan, behavior, caffeine preference.	Group; survival; geotaxis; caffeine preference

Source: own study.

RESULTS

A synthesis of the reviewed studies reveals three major patterns regarding the economic implications of circadian misalignment.

First, circadian disruption consistently reduces cognitive performance, increases error rates, and elevates fatigue-related risks effects that translate into lower labour productivity across occupations. Reduced alertness, slower reaction times and impaired decision-making are particularly pronounced in shift workers and employees exposed to irregular or early-morning schedules. Second, circadian misalignment is strongly associated with long-term health risks, including metabolic disorders, cardiovascular disease, and psychological distress. These conditions contribute to increased absenteeism, higher turnover, and reduced work capacity, creating measurable economic costs for firms and public health systems. Third, although some evidence suggests partial adaptation to irregular schedules, full circadian entrainment is rare. Individual differences in chronotype and resilience explain substantial heterogeneity in outcomes. Chronotype-aligned scheduling, exposure to natural or dynamic lighting, and stable roster planning consistently emerge as effective interventions for mitigating productivity loss.

DISCUSSION

The evidence demonstrates that circadian rhythms are not merely a biological phenomenon but a fundamental determinant of labour productivity, human capital accumulation, and organisational performance. Misalignment between biological clocks and work schedules reduces the efficiency with which employees convert hours worked into effective output. This has direct implications for firms that rely on continuous attention, cognitive precision or physical endurance. From an economic perspective, circadian disruption represents a form of implicit productivity tax. Reduced cognitive functioning increases operational errors, accident rates and quality deficits each of which raises production costs. At the macroeconomic level, elevated morbidity associated with circadian misalignment contributes to higher healthcare expenditure, increased sickness benefits, and a decline in aggregate labour efficiency. Burnout emerges as a critical mediating mechanism linking circadian disruption to long-term economic loss. Chronic sleep deprivation weakens emotional regulation, reduces motivation, and diminishes innovation capacity. These effects undermine organisational performance by increasing turnover and reducing employee engagement. For governments, burnout and stress-related illnesses represent substantial fiscal burdens, as illustrated by Sweden, where sickness benefits related to chronic work stress account for a measurable share of national labour income. Despite the

increasing recognition of circadian processes, organisational practice often fails to incorporate chronobiological evidence. Flexible working hours, chronotype-based shift allocation, and predictable roster planning offer promising avenues for improving labour efficiency. Likewise, exposure to natural or circadian-sensitive artificial lighting can enhance alertness and reduce fatigue, particularly in shift-based industries. However, gaps remain. Research often relies on self-reported measures, with limited use of objective biological or behavioural data. Furthermore, sector-specific differences and individual-level variability require deeper examination. Future research should quantify the economic returns to interventions such as dynamic lighting systems, wearable sleep monitoring, and structured sleep-hygiene programmes.

This review is limited by the inclusion of only English-language publications and by the predominance of studies relying on self-reported data. In addition, a substantial proportion of Further work should develop economic models that quantify the cost of circadian misalignment and evaluate the effectiveness of chronotype-based scheduling interventions. Randomised field trials and objective monitoring tools represent promising directions for strengthening the evidence base.

CONCLUSION

This review demonstrates that circadian rhythms are a fundamental determinant of employee performance, organisational efficiency and long-term labour capacity. Across the reviewed evidence, circadian misalignment is consistently associated with reduced cognitive functioning, higher error rates, increased accident risk and diminished productivity. These effects extend beyond the individual level, contributing to higher morbidity, elevated burnout incidence and rising healthcare and social-insurance costs. For firms, chronic circadian disruption manifests as lower output per hour worked, greater absenteeism and higher turnover, all of which erode operational effectiveness and increase labour-related expenditures. The findings also show that full adaptation to irregular schedules is rare, and that individual chronotypes play a meaningful role in shaping vulnerability to misalignment. Organisational practices that do not take biological rhythms into account therefore impose a hidden economic burden both through direct productivity losses and through the long-term depreciation of human capital. Implementing chronotype-sensitive scheduling, improving light exposure at the workplace and supporting healthy sleep patterns emerge as cost-effective strategies to enhance employee well-being and performance. For policymakers, recognising the economic importance of circadian alignment is essential for designing labour regulations, occupational-health standards and

prevention programmes that sustain workforce productivity in the long run. Overall, respecting circadian biology is not solely a matter of employee comfort, but a structural factor that influences firm competitiveness and macroeconomic outcomes. Integrating chronobiological evidence into labour-market practice offers a promising pathway to strengthening productivity, reducing health-related costs and supporting sustainable human capital development.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Boivin, B., Boudreau, P., Tremblay, G.M. (2012). Phototherapy and orange-tinted goggles for night-shift adaptation of police officers on patrol. *Chronobiology International*, 29(5), 629–640. DOI: 10.3109/07420528.2012.675252.
- Boivin, D.B., Boudreau, P. (2014). Impacts of shift work on sleep and circadian rhythms. *Pathologie Biologie*, 62(5), 292–301. DOI: 10.1016/j.patbio.2014.08.001.
- Boudreau, P., Dumont, G.A., Boivin, D.B. (2013). Circadian adaptation to night shift work influences sleep, performance, mood and the autonomic modulation of the heart. *PLoS ONE*, 8(7), e70813. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070813.
- Daher, A.M., Burud, I., Subair, M., Mushahar, L., Xin, L.J. (2024). The prevalence of sleep deprivation and its impact among medical officers in a tertiary hospital: A cross-sectional study from Malaysia. *PLoS ONE*, 19(8), e0306574. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0306574.
- Drake, C.L., Wright, K.P. (2011). Shift work, shift-work disorder, and jet lag. In: M.H. Kryger, T. Roth, W.C. Dement (eds.), *Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine* (pp. 784–794). Amsterdam: Elsevier. DOI: 10.1016/B978-1-4160-6645-3.00071-2.
- Emens, J.S., Lewy, A.J., Kinzie, J.M., Arntz, D.L., Rough, J.N. (2009). Circadian misalignment in major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Research*, 168(3), 259–261. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2009.04.009.
- Hickie, I.B., Naismith, S.L., Robillard, R., Scott, E.M., Hermens, D.F. (2013). Manipulating the sleep-wake cycle and circadian rhythms to improve clinical management of major depression. *BMC Medicine*, 11(79), 1–27. DOI: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-79.
- Iannitelli, A., Biondi, M. (2020). Il contributo italiano agli studi sui ritmi biologici implicati nei disturbi psichiatrici. *Rivista di Psichiatria*, 55(1), 1–3. DOI: 10.1708/3301.32712.
- Jeong, S., Kim, J., Oh, S.S., Kang, H.T., Ahn, Y.S., Jeong, K.S. (2024). The risk of insomnia by work schedule instability in Korean firefighters. *Annals of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 36, e24. DOI: 10.35371/aoem.2024.36.e24.
- Kecklund, G., Åkerstedt, T., Lowden, A. (1997). Morning work: Effects of early rising on sleep and alertness. *Sleep*, 20, 215–223. DOI: 10.1093/sleep/20.3.215.
- Lateef, R.S., Pokharel, B., Shafin, T.N. (2023). Dozing off with *Drosophila*: The effect of disrupted circadian rhythms and sleep disturbance on mortality, mood, and addiction. *Neuroscience Insights*, 18, 1–6. DOI: 10.1177/26331055231218698.
- Lowden, A., Kecklund, G., Axelsson, J., Åkerstedt, T. (1998). Change from an 8-hour shift to a 12-hour shift: Attitudes, sleep, sleepiness and performance. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 24(3), 69–75.

- Mawdsley, M., Grasby, K., Talk, A. (2014). The effect of sleep on item recognition and source memory recollection among shift-workers and permanent day-workers. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 23(5), 538–544. DOI: 10.1111/jsr.12149.
- McClung, C.A. (2011). Circadian rhythms and mood regulation: Insights from preclinical models. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 21(4), 683–693. DOI: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2011.07.008.
- Meerlo, P., Sgoifo, A., Suchecki, D. (2008). Restricted and disrupted sleep: Effects on autonomic function, neuroendocrine stress systems and stress responsivity. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 12(3), 197–210. DOI: 10.1016/j.smr.2007.07.007.
- Melo, M.C., Abreu, R.L., Neto, V.B.L., de Bruin, P.F., de Bruin, V.M. (2017). Chronotype and circadian rhythm in bipolar disorder: A systematic review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 34, 46–58. DOI: 10.1016/j.smr.2016.06.007.
- Migdanis, A., Tsolis, K., Migdanis, I., Kaltsa, A.G., Fytisilis, F.A., Manouras, A., Androutsos, O., Kapsoritakis, A. (2024). The effect of shift working on dietary patterns of healthcare practitioners during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study. *Medicina*, 60(4), 627. DOI: 10.3390/medicina60040627.
- Nabe-Nielsen, K., Larsen, A.D., Arup, A.E.S.F., Sallerup, M., Schlünssen, V., Hansen, Å. M., Garde, A.H. (2024). Sleep duration and quality in permanent night work: An observational field study. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 97(7), 733–743. DOI: 10.1007/s00420-024-02080-0.
- Nekoei, A., Sigurdsson, J., Wehr, D. (2024). The economic burden of burnout. *CESifo Working Paper*, 11128, 1–83. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.4856947.
- Park, J., Nang, J.H., Cho, S., Chung, K.J., Kim, K.H. (2024). Chronic mealtime shift disturbs metabolic and urinary functions in mice: Effects of daily antioxidant supplementation. *International Neuropsychology Journal*, 28(2), 115–126. DOI: 10.5213/inj.2448144.072.
- Poormoosa, Y., Amerzadeh, M., Alizadeh, A., Kalhoret, R. (2024). The effect of circadian rhythms on the productivity of nurses with the mediating role of quality of work life. *BMC Nursing*, 23(89), 1–9. DOI: 10.1186/s12912-024-01746-x.
- Potter, G.D., Skene, D.J., Arendt, J., Cade, J.E., Grant, P.J., Hardie, L.J. (2016). Circadian rhythm and sleep disruption: Causes, metabolic consequences, and countermeasures. *Endocrine Reviews*, 37(6), 584–608. DOI: 10.1210/er.2016-1083.
- Rabanipour, N., Roohafza, H., Feizi, A., Amani Tirani, S., Sarrafzadegan, N. (2019). Association between shift work and obesity in a large sample of Iranian steel industry workers. *Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology*, 70(3), 194–200. DOI: 10.2478/aiht-2019-70-3266.
- Richardson, C., Micic, G., Cain, N., Bartel, K., Maddock, B., Gradisar, M. (2018). Cognitive performance in adolescents with delayed sleep-wake phase disorder: Treatment effects and a comparison with good sleepers. *Journal of Adolescence*, 65, 72–84. DOI: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2018.03.002.
- Roach, G.D., Lamond, N., Dorrian, J. (2005). *Changes in the concentration of urinary 6-sulphatoxymelatonin during a week of simulated night work. Industrial Health*, 43(1), 193–196. DOI:10.2486/indhealth.43.193.

- Swanson, L.M., Arnedt, J.T., Rosekind, M.R., Belenky, G., Balkin, T.J., Drake, C. (2011). *Sleep disorders and work performance: Findings from the 2008 National Sleep Foundation Sleep in America poll*. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 20(3), 487–494. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.2010.00890.x.
- Vallejo-Giraldo, V., Sanchez-Medina, L., Vasquez-Trespacios, E.M. (2023). Overweight/obesity and physiological variables in workers exposed to rotating shift in a food production factory. *Revista Brasileira de Medicina do Trabalho*, 21(3), e20221005. DOI: 10.47626/1679-4435-2022-1005.
- World Health Organization (2019). Burn-out an occupational phenomenon: International Classification of Diseases. Retrieved from: <https://icd.who.int/> (2025.04.14).
- Yanna, L., Yang, S., Lin, Z. (2023). Analysis of the impact of job burnout on quality and economic benefits of enterprises. *Journal of Economics, Management and Trade*, 29(9), 23–38. DOI: 10.9734/jemt/2023/v29i91125.
- Yoshizaki, T., Tada, Y., Kodama, T., Mori, K., Kokubo, Y., Hida, A., Mitani, K., Komatsu, T., Togo, F., Kawano, Y. (2010). Influence of shiftwork on the association between body mass index and lifestyle or dietary habits in female nurses and caregivers. *Journal of the Japanese Society of Nutrition and Food Science*, 63, 161–167. DOI: 10.4327/jsnfs.63.161.